Madison City		Last Updated: Reporting Fo 6/7/2018 2017	or
Financial Managen	nent	0,112010 2017	
1. Provider of Financial Name:	Information		
Telephone:	Steve Danner-Rivers (608) 261-9689	(XXX) XXX-XXXX	
E-Mail Address (optional):	sdannerrivers@cityofmadison.com		
 2. Treatment Works Op 2.1 Are User Charges treatment plant AND/C Yes (0 points) No (40 points) If No, please explain 	or other revenues sufficient to cover O&I OR collection system ?	M expenses for your wastewater	
Year: 2017 • 0-2 years ago (0 pc • 3 or more years ago • N/A (private facility 2.3 Did you have a sp	o (20 points)) ecial account (e.g., CWFP required segre illable for repairing or replacing equipment	o egated Replacement Fund, etc.) or	
O No (40 points)			
 3. Equipment Replacent 3.1 When was the Equipment Replacent 2017 1-2 years ago (0 pc 3 or more years ago 0 N/A If N/A, please explaint 3.2 Equipment Replact 3.2.1 Ending Balance 3.2.2 Adjustments - if 	uipment Replacement Fund last reviewed pints) p (20 points) n: ement Fund Activity re Reported on Last Year's CMAR f necessary (e.g. earned interest, +		
making up previous sh	rawal of excess funds, increase ortfall, etc.) ry 1st Beginning Balance	\$ 62,519.62	

\$

+

459,000.00

3.2.4	Additions	to Fund	(e.g.	portion	of l	Jser	Fee,
earne	d interest,	etc.)					

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Madison City			st Updated: /7/2018	Reporting Fo 2017
replacement, 3.2.6.1 below 3.2.6 Ending	Balance as of December 31st for CMAR	- \$	59,016.23	-
Equipment Rep	r his ending balance should include all blacement Funds whether held in a s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.		462,503.39	1
	cate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/o at Diemer & Lowry; New Pump at Gettle	r major repairs fro	om 3.2.5 abo	ove.
Please note: Assistance A instructions header in th 3.3.1 Is the greater than • Yes • No If No, pleas		inally based on th ted as needed. Fur SectionInstructions nent Fund above,	ther calcula link under l (#3.2.6) equ	nfo Jal to, or
or new constr	uction of your treatment facility or collection sy es, please provide major project information, if	/stem?	-	
Project #	Project Description	Es	stimated Ap Cost Co	proximate instruction Year
developii These pr come up	npact Fee Districts: This program extends sanitary sewer sing areas of the City that require sewer infrastructure instal oject locations and schedules are typically development dr with short notice. Review for planned projects is conducte shown is the estimate for 2018-2023.	llation. iven and may	8,150,000	
sewers in Manager replacem service li Also, the lateral th Six-inch	econstruction: This project involves the replacement of old in coordination with the City's Street Reconstruction and Pa nent Program or as 'stand alone' projects. Typically this pri- nent of clay sewers that are difficult to maintain, nearing th fe, have a significant repair costs, or are undersized. Sewer Utility encourages residents to replace the portion that lies within the public right-of-way by offering to fund 75 mains under streets that are being reconstructed will be re- not meet current codes. Sewers beneath streets being resu	vement ovides for the ne end of their of their sewer 5% of the cost. eplaced because	77,023,400	

adison City			Last Updated: 6/7/2018	Reporting Fo 2017
meet cer means of using car to addres system, r budgetec strategica replacem new tech a focus. /	tain criteria but do not necessita f open cutting. New technology a meras and remote controlled too ss inflow and infiltration problem reducing pipe capacity and incre d will repair approximately sever ally selected locations, based on nent of inaccessible sewers by a nology for replacement of gravit Amount shown is the estimate for		e e	
funds the pumping	e installation of emergency powe	ower Stationary Generators: This prograr er stationary generators at the City's m is to ensure continuous service in the for 2018-2023.	n 345,000	
. Financial Ma	anagement General Comm	nents		
infrastructure amount for th estimates for	e improvements, listed in ne next budget year is det the five subsequent year	Capital Budget which funds equip a project format. Each project is cermined. In addition, the budget s for each project.	reviewed and the	funding
ENERGY EFFI	CIENCY AND USE			
COLLECTIO			s:	
6.1.1 Enter the COLLECTION	he monthly energy usage N SYSTEM PUMPAGE: To unicipally Owned Pump/Li	otal Power Consumed ft Stations: 30	S:	
6.1.1 Enter the COLLECTION	he monthly energy usage N SYSTEM PUMPAGE: To unicipally Owned Pump/Li Electricity Consumed	otal Power Consumed ft Stations: 30 Natural Gas Consumed	s:	
6.1.1 Enter the COLLECTION Number of M	he monthly energy usage N SYSTEM PUMPAGE: To unicipally Owned Pump/Li Electricity Consumed (kWh)	otal Power Consumed ft Stations: 30 Natural Gas Consumed (therms)	s:	
6.1.1 Enter the COLLECTION Number of M January February March	he monthly energy usage N SYSTEM PUMPAGE: To unicipally Owned Pump/Li Electricity Consumed (kWh) 44,007 40,197 44,904	tal Power Consumed ft Stations: 30 Natural Gas Consumed (therms) 123 79 81	S:	
6.1.1 Enter the COLLECTION Number of March April	he monthly energy usage N SYSTEM PUMPAGE: To unicipally Owned Pump/Li Electricity Consumed (kWh) 44,007 40,197 44,904 37,082	tal Power Consumed ft Stations: 30 Natural Gas Consumed (therms) 123 79 81 1	S:	
6.1.1 Enter the COLLECTION Number of March April May	he monthly energy usage N SYSTEM PUMPAGE: To unicipally Owned Pump/Li Electricity Consumed (kWh) 44,007 40,197 44,904 37,082 38,346	otal Power Consumed ft Stations: 30 Natural Gas Consumed (therms) 123 79 81 1 1 0	s:	
6.1.1 Enter the COLLECTION Number of March April	he monthly energy usage N SYSTEM PUMPAGE: To unicipally Owned Pump/Li Electricity Consumed (kWh) 44,007 40,197 44,904 37,082	otal Power Consumed ft Stations: 30 Natural Gas Consumed (therms) 123 79 81 1 0 0 0	S:	
6.1.1 Enter th COLLECTION Number of Mar January February March April May June July	he monthly energy usage N SYSTEM PUMPAGE: To unicipally Owned Pump/Li Electricity Consumed (kWh) 44,007 40,197 44,904 37,082 38,346 38,858 41,484	otal Power Consumed ft Stations: 30 Natural Gas Consumed (therms) 123 79 81 1 0 0 0 3	S:	
6.1.1 Enter th COLLECTION Number of Ma January February March April May June July August	he monthly energy usage N SYSTEM PUMPAGE: To unicipally Owned Pump/Li Electricity Consumed (kWh) 44,007 40,197 44,904 37,082 38,346 38,858 41,484 39,534	otal Power Consumed ft Stations: 30 Natural Gas Consumed (therms) 123 79 81 1 0 0 0 3 3 3	S:	
6.1.1 Enter th COLLECTION Number of M January February March April May June July August September	he monthly energy usage N SYSTEM PUMPAGE: To unicipally Owned Pump/Li Electricity Consumed (kWh) 44,007 40,197 44,904 37,082 38,346 38,858 41,484	otal Power Consumed ft Stations: 30 Natural Gas Consumed (therms) 123 79 81 1 0 0 0 3 3 3 3	S:	
6.1.1 Enter th COLLECTION Number of Ma January February March April May June July August	he monthly energy usage N SYSTEM PUMPAGE: To unicipally Owned Pump/Li Electricity Consumed (kWh) 44,007 40,197 44,904 37,082 38,346 38,858 41,484 39,534	btal Power Consumed ft Stations: 30 Natural Gas Consumed (therms) 123 79 81 1 0 0 0 3 3 3 3 3 1	S:	
6.1.1 Enter th COLLECTION Number of M January February March April May June July August September	he monthly energy usage N SYSTEM PUMPAGE: To unicipally Owned Pump/Li Electricity Consumed (kWh) 44,007 40,197 44,904 37,082 38,346 38,858 41,484 39,534 34,593	otal Power Consumed ft Stations: 30 Natural Gas Consumed (therms) 123 79 81 1 0 0 0 3 3 3 3	S:	
6.1.1 Enter th COLLECTION Number of Mar January February March April May June July August September October	he monthly energy usage N SYSTEM PUMPAGE: To unicipally Owned Pump/Li Electricity Consumed (kWh) 44,007 40,197 44,904 37,082 38,346 38,858 41,484 39,534 34,593 34,868	btal Power Consumed ft Stations: 30 Natural Gas Consumed (therms) 123 79 81 1 0 0 0 3 3 3 3 3 1	S:	
6.1.1 Enter th COLLECTION Number of Ma January February March April May June July August September October November	he monthly energy usage N SYSTEM PUMPAGE: To unicipally Owned Pump/Li Electricity Consumed (kWh) 44,007 40,197 44,904 37,082 38,346 38,858 41,484 39,534 34,593 34,868 38,232	btal Power Consumed ft Stations: 30 Natural Gas Consumed (therms) 123 79 81 1 1 0 0 0 3 3 3 3 3 1 1 5	S:	

6.1.2 Comments:

Madison City	Last Updated: 6/7/2018	Reporting For: 2017
 Comminution or Screening Extended Shaft Pumps Flow Metering and Recording Pneumatic Pumping SCADA System Self-Priming Pumps Submersible Pumps Variable Speed Drives Other: 		
 6.2.2 Comments: 6.3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations? No 		
o Yes Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment:		
6.4 Future Energy Related Equipment6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned a pump/lift stations?	for the future for	your
More efficient pumps will be installed as pumps need to be replaced.		

Total Points Generated		
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)		
Section Grade	A	

Madison City

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

 Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program 1.1 Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?
• Yes
O No
If No, explain:
1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items
according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?
 Yes No (30 points)
o N/A
If No or N/A, explain:
L 1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the
components and items that apply)
Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]
Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:
II. Goals & Objectives
A. DNR Required
The City of Madison's CMOM program is designed to ensure that the following general standards
as articulated in NR 210.23 are met:
 The sewage collection system is properly managed, operated, and maintained at all times. The sewage collection system provides adequate capacity to convey all peak design flows. All feasible steps are taken to eliminate excessive infiltration and inflow as defined in s. NR 110.03 (13c), cease sanitary sewer overflows and sewage treatment facility overflows and mitigate the impact of such overflows on waters of the state, the environment, and public health.
4. A process is in place to notify the public and other directly affected parties of any incidents of overflows from the sewerage system.
5. Annual reports are submitted in accordance with the provisions of ch. NR 208.
B. MSU Specific
The City of Madison's goals for the operation and maintenance of its wastewater collection
The City of Madison's goals for the operation and maintenance of its wastewater collection system are:
• Convey wastewater to the Nine Springs Wastewater Treatment Plant with minimum inflow, infiltration and exfiltration.
 Prevent public health hazards. Reduce inconvenience and damage by responsibly handling service interruptions.
 Eliminate claims and legal fees related to backup by providing immediate, concerned and
efficient service to all emergency calls.
Protect municipal investment by increasing the useful life and capacities of the system and
parts.Use operating funds efficiently.
Perform all activities safely and avoid injury.
Did you accomplish them?
• Yes
O NO

ladison City	Last Updated: 6/7/2018	Reporting Fo 2017
If No, explain:		
\square		
Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)] Does this chapter of your CMOM include:		
☐ Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational cl	nart and position descripti	ons)
Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities		
oxtimes Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the d	lepartment and the public	
🛛 Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]		
What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of		
Chapter 35 of the Madison General Ordinances - The Public Sev	0	
If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 06/28/2017		land
Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding docume Private property inflow and infiltration		
New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installa	0 1	on
Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and	•	
Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are m necessary	onitored and controlled, a	S
\boxtimes Fat, oil and grease control		
Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance		
Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]		
Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment I Equipment and replacement part inventories	include the following:	
🛛 Up-to-date sewer system map		
A management system (computer database and/or file system information for O&M activities, investigation and rehabilitation		
\square A description of routine operation and maintenance activitie		
Capacity assessment program		
Basement back assessment and correction		
🛛 Regular O&M training		
oxtimes Design and Performance Provisions [NR 210.23 (4) (e)]		
What standards and procedures are established for the design, the sewer collection system, including building sewers and inte	•	ion of
property?		
State Plumbing Code, DNR NR 110 Standards and/or local N	/lunicipal Code Requireme	nts
Construction, Inspection, and Testing		
Others:		
City of Madison Standard Specifications for Public Works Cor	nstruction	
Overflow Emergency Response Plan [NR 210.23 (4) (f)]		
Does your emergency response capability include:		
Responsible personnel communication procedures		
Response order, timing and clean-up		
Public notification protocols		
Training		
Emergency operation protocols and implementation procedu	ures	
Annual Self-Auditing of your CMOM Program [NR 210.23 (5)]		
Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply): Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis		
Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES)		

Madison City	Last Updated: 6/7/2018	Reporting For: 2017
	0/7/2018	2017
Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Managment Plan (SECAP)		
Lift Station Evaluation Report		
Others:		

Madison City

I/I Analysis- The City has 3 areas where we have been focusing our efforts to reduce I/I due to observing high pump run times at the pumping stations that serve the basins. These 3 areas are the Hargrove/Johns Street area, the Truax Airport area and the Midtown Pumping Station area. With the Johns Street/ Hargrove Area, the City studied the area in 2012 utilizing pump run time data and flow monitors.

We studied the Truax Airport Lift Station Basin also utilizing flow monitoring equipment and pump run time data in 2004 and again in 2015. This basin has cured-in-place liners that were installed in 2008 as a result of recommendations from the 2004 Brown and Caldwell I/I study and some of these liners have since failed due to an inadequate amount of resin in the pipe liner. The 2015 Truax study done by City staff included reviewing the condition of the sewer mains with and without liners, reviewing flow monitoring data and evaluating pump flow data. Because of a lack of large rainfall events while we had our sewer flow monitors in place, we decided to continue our flow monitoring of the Truax Basin in 2016 when we did have rainfall. We found a significant amount of work needing to be done to reduce I/I.

The Mid-Town basin is a very new area where we experienced a casting being dislodged by farm equipment in September of 2014. We have since raised the problem casting and installed a bolted locking lid but we are still observing I/I in this basin with spikes in pump run times during rain events. The I/I problem in the basin was not believed to be an issue with the sewer mains but rather the manholes. In 2017 the City has adjusted 10 of the manholes to the estimated 100 year flood elevations, installed bolted castings and sealed the manhole barrel joints. We still are experiencing high I/I in this basin. We have now televised the sewer mains, lifted casting using additional barrel sections, and wrapped the manhole joints. We believe that the problem is that foundation floor drains are exposed while the numerous homes in this area are under construction. We will continue to monitor pump run times in this area.

SSES- On average, the City televises 85 Miles of sewer per year to evaluate how the sewers are performing and how we plan to improve the collection system based upon pipe defects(broken, fractured pipe, root obstructions, sags) or capacity concerns (pipe appears to be running at high levels).

SECAP- While the City is not required to have a formal SECAP plan, we have been closely monitoring the downtown redevelopment monitoring our capacity needs and upsizing sewer interceptors where it is needed. The City did do a study in 2015 of the sewer capacity needs in the near east side and the campus area where there has been a significant high density residential growth. Based upon the flow level observations and pending number of proposed dwelling units, the City upsized 719' of sewer on Bassett (2017) between University Ave to Dayton Street from a 12" diameter sewer to and 18" diameter sewer. The City also plans to upsize the sanitary sewer on Frances Street from Dayton Street north of University Ave., 1,158' of sewer to a 27" diameter sewer within the next 5 years. In 2018, the City intends to install 3 flow monitors downtown in the UW campus area on Frances St. and on John Nolen Drive to monitor the performance of the Frances Street sewer now that the sewer on N. Bassett Street has been installed. We plan to keep the monitors installed for 2-3 months. The next area where we plan to install the monitors will be the area east of capital between the capital and the Yahara River (Thornton Ave.)

Lift Station Evaluation Report- The City's Lift Stations are maintained and operated by the Madison Metropolitan Sewerage District. MMSD provides the City updates if there are pump run time spikes and or if there are problems with operation of the stations. The City also meets annually with MMSD to identify which stations have been problematic through the year. They also notify the City which stations are in need of upgrades whether it being upgrading pumps, electrical upgrades or complete pumping station renovation.

0

1		1		
Madison City			Last Updated: 6/7/2018	Reporting For: 2017
	enance sewer collection system m ? Complete all that apply a			
Cleaning	56.2			
Root removal	1.08	% of system/year		
Flow monitoring	3	% of system/year		
Smoke testing	0	% of system/year		
Sewer line televising	5.86	% of system/year		
Manhole inspections	3.21	% of system/year		
Lift station O&M	66	# per L.S./year		
Manhole rehabilitation	1.29	% of manholes rehabbe	ed	
Mainline rehabilitation	1.06	% of sewer lines rehabl	bed	
Private sewer inspections	0	% of system/year		
Private sewer I/I removal	0	% of private services		
River or water crossings	57.67	% of pipe crossings eva	luated or maintai	ned
Please include additio	onal comments about you	r sanitary sewer collection	n system below:	
	ors ng collection system and Total actual amount of pr			
34.44	Annual average precipitat	ion (for your location)		
788.26	Miles of sanitary sewer			
30	Number of lift stations			
0	Number of lift station faile	ures		
17	Number of sewer pipe fail	lures		
13	Number of basement bac	kup occurrences		
19	Number of complaints			
27.3	Average daily flow in MGE	D (if available)		
	Peak monthly flow in MGE	D (if available)		
	Peak hourly flow in MGD	(if available)		
3.2 Performance ratios	for the past year: Lift station failures (failur	es/year)		
0.02	Sewer pipe failures (pipe	failures/sewer mile/yr)		
0.00	Sanitary sewer overflows	(number/sewer mile/yr)		
0.02	Basement backups (numb	per/sewer mile)		
0.02	Complaints (number/sewe	er mile)		
0.0	Peaking factor ratio (Peak	Monthly: Annual Daily A	/g)	

Madison (City
-----------	------

Г

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/7/2018 2017

$\cap \cap$	Peaking factor	ratio	(Peak	Hourly Annual	Daily Avg)
0.0	i culting fuctor	rano	(i cuit	riouriy./ annuar	Duny rug)

0.011	eaking factor ratio (Peak Houriy: Annual Da	iny Avg)	
4. Overflows			
LIST OF SANITARY SE	EWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (T	FO) OFERFLOWS RE	PORTED **
Date	Location	Cause	Estimated Volume (MG)
0 8/1/2017 1:45:00 PM - 8/1/2017 1:50:00 PM	Contractor temporary bypass pumping operation, 209 Ramsey Ct., Madison, WI	Broken Sewer, Broken Sewer	0 - 0.0001
corrected.	FFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DN		section until
Post-SSO Standard Operating After resolving issues causing reviewed to determine if a sti		e impacted line. The inspe ied, if a different preventi	ve maintenance
 5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/ 5.1 Was infiltration/inflo o Yes No If Yes, please describe 	w (I/I) significant in your community last	year?	
	w and resultant high flows affected perform ift stations, or treatment plant at any time :	•	
5.3 Explain any infiltration	on/inflow (I/I) changes this year from prev	vious years:	
events were highly iso rainfall event was 2.74 a 458% of normal flow 617,760 gpd during ra On the East side, we h We observed flow spik	rienced three rain events on the west side lated with the West side and the East side " on 7/10/17. According to the City's Pum y spike during rain event over normal flows in event). ad our largest rain events on 7/21/2017 (es in the Johns Street basin MMSD Pump S of average flow) and on 7/22/2017, we h	not coinciding. The p data (Midtown), w s (average flow 134, 1.66") and 8/16/201 Station #6(2.48 MGI	largest e experience 694 gpd, 7 (1.68"). D Average,
average flow). This pu event. The same was at normal flow on the following day. In the Truax basin on	ump station appears to have a lag with flow true for the 8/16/2017 storm event when day of the event (2.50 MGD vs 2.48 MGD 7/21 the storm event, we experienced 828	w increases a day af the pump station wa average) and 3.08 M 3,000 gallon vs 539, ²	ter the as operating IGD on the 786 gallon
of flow vs 539,786 gal gallons/ day of flow (6 the same rainfall total	verage flow) and on the 8/16/2017 event, lon average flow(112%). The peak flow o /23/2017) when we had a 0.83" rainfall. on the previous day(6/22/2017). o address infiltration/inflow in your collect	bserved in Truax wa It should be noted th	s 1,170,00

Madison	City
---------	------

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/7/2018 2017

We continue to replace/ line defective sewers in the Hargrove/Johns Street area and monitor our end results by observing pump run time data at the pumping station. In 2017 we replaced 144' of 18" diameter, 753' of 15" diameter, 46' of 12" diameter sewer, and 2,459 ft of 10" diameter sewer on Richard Street (Johns Street/ Hargrove Area (MMSD Pump Station #6) along with all of the laterals within the project limits. In 2018, we intend to install on 1028' of 15", 1972' of 10" and 580' of 8" sewer main on Richard and Schenk.

We intend to pipe burst 350' of 24" diameter sewer, open cut replace 422' of 8" diameter, and cured in place line 10,677 ft of sewer main varying in size from 8" diameter to 18" diameter in the studied the Truax Airport Lift Station Basin. In 2017, we CIPP lined 2,756 ft of sewer mains in this basin. In 2018 we intend to line 29 manholes in this basin.

We believe that the Mid-Town basin problem due to exposed foundation floor drains while homes in this area are under construction. We will continue to monitor pump run times in this area.

We are also proactively replacing old pin-type castings throughout the City with gasketed castings.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	А

Madison City

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/7/2018 2017

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0047341

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS	
Financial	А	4	1	4	
Collection	А	4	3	12	
TOTALS			4	16	
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 4.00					

Notes:

- A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)
- B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)
- C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)
- D = Action Range (Response Required)
- F = Action Range (Response Required)

Madison City		Last Updated:	Reporting For:		
		6/7/2018	2017		
Resolution or Owner	's Statement				
Name of Governing					
Body or Owner:					
	City of Madison Common Council				
Date of Resolution or					
Action Taken:					
	6/5/2018				
Resolution Number:					
	RES-18-00426				
Date of Submittal:					

ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F): Financial Management: Grade = A

Collection Systems: Grade = A (Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported)

Post-SSO Standard Operating Procedure:

After resolving issues causing SSO our standard operating procedure is to televise impacted line as soon as possible, typically within 24 hours. The inspection is reviewed to determine if a structural deficiency is present that needs to be remedied, if a different preventive maintenance cleaning schedule or process is required, and/or if a sewer system user needs to be contacted to address discharge issues.

ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00) G.P.A. = 4.00