# **Golf Courses**

Function: Public Works

## Budget Overview

Agency Budget by Fund

|                          | 2019 Act  | ual  | 20 | 20 Adopted | 2  | 020 Actual | 20 | 21 Adopted | 2022 C2C        | 20 | 22 Request |
|--------------------------|-----------|------|----|------------|----|------------|----|------------|-----------------|----|------------|
| Golf Courses             | 3,133,    | ,012 |    | 3,370,320  |    | 3,654,184  |    | 3,307,654  | 3,307,652       |    | 3,584,310  |
| TOTAL                    | \$ 3,133, | ,012 | \$ | 3,370,320  | \$ | 3,654,184  | \$ | 3,307,654  | \$<br>3,307,652 | \$ | 3,584,310  |
|                          |           |      |    |            |    |            |    |            |                 |    |            |
| Agency Budget by Service |           |      |    |            |    |            |    |            |                 |    |            |
|                          |           | -    |    |            |    |            |    |            |                 |    |            |

|                 | 2019 Actual  | 2020 Adopted | 2020 Actual  | 2021 Adopted | 2022 C2C     | 2022 Request |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Golf Operations | 3,133,012    | 3,370,320    | 3,654,184    | 3,307,654    | 3,307,652    | 3,584,310    |
| TOTAL           | \$ 3,133,012 | \$ 3,370,320 | \$ 3,654,184 | \$ 3,307,654 | \$ 3,307,652 | \$ 3,584,310 |

# Agency Budget by Major-Revenue

|                                   | 2019 Actual    | 2020 Adopted   | 2020 Actual    | 2021 Adopted      | 2022 C2C    | 2022 Request   |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Charges For Services              | (2,729,105)    | (3,176,709)    | (3,614,639)    | (3,259,654)       | (3,259,654) | (3,481,792)    |
| Investments & Other Contributions | -              | -              | -              | -                 | -           | (20,000)       |
| Misc Revenue                      | (40,041)       | (48,000)       | (15,722)       | (48,000)          | (48,000)    | (48,000)       |
| Other Financing Source            | (363,867)      | (145,611)      | -              | -                 | -           | (34,518)       |
| Transfer In                       | -              | -              | (23,824)       | -                 | -           | -              |
| TOTAL                             | \$ (3,133,012) | \$ (3,370,320) | \$ (3,654,185) | \$ (3,307,654) \$ | (3,307,654) | \$ (3,584,310) |

# Agency Budget by Major-Expenses

|                        | 2019 Actual  | 2020 Adopted | 2020 Actual  | 2021 Adopted | 2022 C2C     | 2022 Request |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Salaries               | 1,337,091    | 1,462,119    | 1,388,905    | 1,468,906    | 1,478,966    | 1,528,575    |
| Benefits               | 391,479      | 265,868      | 312,794      | 278,575      | 283,676      | 283,678      |
| Supplies               | 476,366      | 599,600      | 362,838      | 564,766      | 564,766      | 621,179      |
| Purchased Services     | 424,930      | 475,321      | 679,989      | 471,349      | 471,442      | 687,810      |
| Debt & Other Financing | 33,107       | 46,348       | 426,283      | 32,088       | 45,733       | -            |
| Inter Depart Charges   | 273,791      | 311,064      | 291,759      | 247,205      | 218,304      | 218,304      |
| Transfer Out           | 196,250      | 210,000      | 191,617      | 244,765      | 244,765      | 244,765      |
| TOTAL                  | \$ 3,133,012 | \$ 3,370,320 | \$ 3,654,184 | \$ 3,307,654 | \$ 3,307,652 | \$ 3,584,310 |



210 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd., Room 104 Madison, WI 53703 608-266-4711 ● cityofmadison.com/parks



| To:      | Dave Schmiedicke, Finance Director                     |
|----------|--|
| From:    | Eric Knepp, Parks Superintendent                       |
| Date:    | July 12, 2021  |
| Subject: | 2022 Golf Enterprise Operating Budget Transmittal Memo |

## Major Goals

The 2022 Operating Budget request for the Golf Enterprise includes a continuation of existing service levels, including the implementation of reopening Glenway to golf. The Golf Enterprise aims to provide accessible, affordable and quality municipal golf courses while covering all expenses related to the operation of the courses. Madison's golf courses are key for promoting affordable golfing opportunities and the courses are used by a diverse group of residents and visitors.

## **COVID Recovery**

The Golf Enterprise continues to provide a safe outdoor recreational outlet for the community. Golf has been a key asset to community members throughout the pandemic and effectively all services at the courses are back in place in 2021 and it is anticipated that will continue in 2022.

## 2022 Request & Equity

The Golf Enterprise plans to work with the Parks Equity Team to add elements of the Equity Action Plan to encourage marketing and outreach strategies in 2022 to draw in a more diverse group using the courses. One such cooperation is with The First Tee of South Central Wisconsin, a 501(c)(3) non-profit corporation which is part of a nationwide initiative that impacts the lives of young people by providing educational programs that build character, instill values, and promote healthy choices through the game of golf. The program is largely funded by donors and scholarships. These scholarships open doors for youth from low-income families, by providing financial aid for those who need it to participate in the program.

In addition, improvements being made to the Glenway Golf Course will transform the area into more of a community park with a golf course. This will provide an area of green space for all community members to utilize, which is valuable for community mental and physical health. A community-focus area will connect more members of the community with the courses through alternative recreational activities, which will boost the community's intrinsic value of the courses and possibly introduce new players to the game.

## 2022 Request & Sustainability

The Golf Enterprise has a continued focus on natural landscape enhancements to support sustainability efforts at golf properties. Examples include the natural area restoration work at Glenway and the pollinator habitat work at Odana. The Golf Enterprise works with the UW's OJ Noer Turfgrass Research and Education facility to modify turfgrass management practices to reduce inputs and promote excellent playing conditions. OJ Noer studies rain harvesting and subsurface irrigation, course runoff, fungicide degradation, pest management and bio solids.

## Major Changes in 2022 Operating Request

The 2022 Requested Operating Budget does not include any substantial changes from existing budgeted service levels. It is anticipated that additional work on the Task Force's recommendations will have significant financial and budgetary implications for the Enterprise. The Golf Enterprise will work with the Finance Department and policymakers on appropriately modifying the budget during the formulation and adoption stages of the process if progress is made on the recommendations during that timeframe.

## Summary of Reductions

No reductions have been proposed with this submission, as this is an Enterprise Service.

## Future Golf Enterprise Planning

Golf continues to work to achieve operational profitability and long term financial sustainability within available resources provided by the current accounting system structure. A major ongoing concern for the Parks Division regarding Golf Enterprise Program is the failing infrastructure, including both courses and building conditions, as well as equipment, that poses an increasingly concerning problem to the GEP's overall profitability. In recent decades, these deteriorating conditions have led to course closures and increased operating expenses as staff work to make repairs and short term fixes to keep mission critical areas and equipment functional. The Golf courses will require significant capital investment to provide adequate playing conditions and viable facilities in order to ensure adequate revenue streams in to the future.

## c.c. Deputy Mayors

Budget & Program Evaluation Staff Lisa Laschinger, Assistant Parks Superintendent Christopher Peguero, Assistant Parks Superintendent January Vang, Parks Financial Manager Pat Hario, Parks Administrative Assistant Katie Pollock, Financial Management Intern

# 2022 Operating Budget

## Service Budget Proposal

### IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

SELECT YOUR AGENCY:

Golf Enterprise

### SELECT YOUR AGENCY'S SERVICE:

Golf Operations

### SERVICE NUMBER:

811

#### SERVICE DESCRIPTION:

This service oversees the operation and maintenance of the Yahara Hills, Odana Hills, Monona and Glenway Golf Courses, which provide a total of 72 holes of play. The goal of the service is a golf enterprise fund that is fully self-sustaining and provides affordable, accessible, and quality golfing opportunities.

### Part 1: Base Budget Proposal

#### **BUDGET INFORMATION**

|                    | 2019 Actual | 2020 Adopted | 2020 Actual | 2021 Adopted | 2022 C2C    | 2022 Request |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Budget by Fund     |             |              |             |              |             |              |
| General-Net        | \$0         | \$0          | \$0         | \$0          | \$0         | \$0          |
| Other-Expenditures | \$3,133,012 | \$3,370,320  | \$3,654,184 | \$3,307,654  | \$3,307,654 | \$3,584,310  |
| Total              | \$3,133,012 | \$3,370,320  | \$3,654,184 | \$3,307,654  | \$3,307,654 | \$3,584,310  |
| Budget by Major    |             |              |             |              |             |              |
| Revenue            | \$0         | \$0          | \$0         | \$0          | \$0         | \$0          |
| Personnel          | \$1,728,569 | \$1,727,987  | \$1,701,699 | \$1,747,481  | \$1,762,644 | \$1,812,252  |
| Non-Personnel      | \$1,130,652 | \$1,331,269  | \$1,660,727 | \$1,312,968  | \$1,326,706 | \$1,553,754  |
| Agency Billings    | \$273,791   | \$311,064    | \$291,759   | \$247,205    | \$218,304   | \$218,304    |
| Total              | \$3,133,012 | \$3,370,320  | \$3,654,185 | \$3,307,654  | \$3,307,654 | \$3,584,310  |
| FTEs               |             | 8.30         |             | 8.30         | 8.30        | 8.00         |

#### PRIORITY

Citywide Element Culture and Character Describe how this service advances the Citywide Element:

Provide quality and affordable golf recreational opportunities to residents and visitors.

### ACTIVITIES PERFORMED BY THIS SERVICE

| Activity                         | % of Effort   | Description   |
|----------------------------------|---|---|
| Golf course maintenance          | 55%   | Maintain the 4 golf courses in excellent playing<br>condition. Irrigate and mow the greens and<br>fairways, repair and care for mowing equipment<br>and vehicles, provide tee and green supplies.         |
| Golf clubhouses                  | 45%   | Provide clubhouses for golfers to set up tee times,<br>check in, rent golf carts and pay green fees.<br>Opportunities to purchases concession at the snack<br>bars and golf accessories at the pro shops. |
| SERVICE BUDGET CHANGES           |   |   |
| Service Impact                   |   |   |
| What is the proposed change to   | the service's budget from cost to continue to agency request? | 0   |
| What are the service level impac | cts of the proposed funding changes?                          |   |
| Revenue increased \$276,656      |   |   |
|                                  |   |   |

|                       | increased by \$272,78:<br>lecreased by \$45,733 |                         |                        |   |
|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|------------------------|---|
|                       |   | net neutral             |                        |   |
|                       | osed funding change is                          |                         | - Charles 1416 1 1     |   |
|                       | 1537 budgeted in Gol<br>sonnel. Will be fixed d |                         |                        | ted in Parks Operating Budget, total of \$34,518, part of the \$49,608      |
| sonnel-Permane        | nt Positions                                    |                         |                        |   |
| Are you propo         | sing an allocation ch                           | ange to the FTEs fo     | r this service? Yes    |   |
|                       | Туре  | Fund                    | Amount                 | Description   |
|                       | Perm Wages                                      | Other                   | \$43,000               | Decrease of salary savings does not include position # 1537                 |
|                       | Benefits  | Other                   | \$0                    |   |
|                       | Total   |                         | ¢ 42,000               |   |
| Explain the assu      | imptions behind the a                           | llocation change.       | \$43,000               |   |
|                       |   | -                       | staffing on team and I | educe reliance on seasonal staffing. Does not include position #1537        |
| budgeted in err       | or.   |                         | -                      |   |
| What is the just      | ification behind the al                         | location change?        |                        |   |
|                       |   |                         |                        |   |
|                       |   |                         |                        |   |
|                       | ng need accounts for a                          | a decrease in salary sa | avings to provide roor | n for adjustment.   |
| onnel-Other Pers      |   |                         |                        |   |
| Are you request       | ting additional person                          | nel spending for non-   | annualized pay?        |   |
|                       | Туре  | Fund                    | Amount                 | Description   |
|                       | Overtime  | Other                   | (\$65)                 | Increase in OT Perm net with decrease in OT Hourly                          |
|                       | Premium Pay                                     |                         |                        |   |
|                       |   |                         |                        |   |
|                       | Hourly  | Other                   | \$6,673                | Increase in potential need for hourly wages and benefits                    |
|                       | Tabal   |                         |                        | increase in potential need for houry wages and senents                      |
| Evolain the accu      | <i>Total</i><br>umptions behind the r           | aquested funding        | \$6,608                |   |
|                       |   |                         | ng capacity resulted i | n a need to increase in Hourly wages and benefit offset with overtime p     |
| •                     | ····  |                         |                        |   |
| What is the just      | tification behind the in                        | creased funding?        |                        |   |
| Review of prior       | year actuals and oper                           | ating hours notates t   | he need to increase h  | ourly wages and benefits.   |
|                       |   |                         |                        |   |
| nue<br>Are vou propos | ing a change to the se                          | rvice's budgeted reve   | Serue 2                |   |
| Ale you propos        | Yes   | Trice's budgeted leve   | inter                  |   |
| Arouou propos         |   | araaca ta tha hudgat    | ad revenue?            |   |
| Ale you propos        | ing an increase or a de<br>Increase             |                         | eu revenue:            |   |
|                       | Fund  | Major                   | Amount                 | Description   |
|                       | Other   | 43                      | \$222,138              |   |
|                       |   |                         |                        | Membership and Golf courses   |
|                       |   | 4.6                     | \$20,000               | Contribution and Donation   |
|                       | Other   | 46                      |                        |   |
|                       | Other<br>Other                                  | 46                      | \$34,518               | Fund balance use to offset decrease in perm wages/benefit of position #1537 |
|                       | Other   | 48                      |                        | Fund balance use to offset decrease in perm wages/benefit of position #1537 |
| Explain the ass       |   | 48                      |                        |   |

Increases in golf courses anticipated in 2022 due to new updated Glenway Golf Course and increased visitors to all 4 golf courses.

|  | Yes   |   | or this service?   |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
|  | Fund  | Major   | Amount   | Description  |  |
|  | Other   | 53,54   | \$272,781  | supplies, landscaping supplies,<br>stormwater, telephone, buildin<br>equipment improvement repai<br>card services, advertising services.   | dware supplies, work supplies, building<br>equipment supplies, inventory, water,<br>ig improvement repair maint., pest control,<br>ir maint., lease rental of equipment, credit<br>ces, other services and expenses and permit<br>a system and software maintenance. |
| Explain the a  | ssumptions behind   | the requested funding   | Į.   |  |  |
|  |   | es are necessary to ens<br>ther and cost increases  |  | ng capacity of the 4 golf courses. Ut  | tilities such as water and stormwater are  |
| What is the j  | ustification behind   | the increased funding?  | 2  |  |  |
| With Teesna  | p, point of sale syste  | em, credit card fees wi   | Il increase and be of  | fset with a decrease in software m   | aintenance.  |
| We are continui  |   | rticulate and prioritiz   |  | l social justice in the City's budg<br>rrative to ensure racial equity is  |  |
| We are continui.<br>following questi<br>1. Describe how  | ng our efforts to al<br>ons and incorpora<br>Black, Indigenous<br>der, age, home lan  | rticulate and prioritiz<br>te these responses ir<br>, and People of Colo  | nto your budget na<br>r (BIPOC), people l  | rrative to ensure racial equity is iving with lower incomes, and p   |  |
| We are continui,<br>following questi<br>1. Describe how<br>(because of gen<br>answer this que<br>Black, Indigenou<br>language, etc.) o<br>as others. This i<br>choices. Access | ng our efforts to al<br>ons and incorpora<br>Black, Indigenous<br>der, age, home lan<br>stion:<br>us, and People of C<br>often suffer dispro<br>is caused by barrie<br>to parks and recre | rticulate and prioritiz<br>te these responses in<br>, and People of Colo<br>guage, etc.) would b<br>Color, people living w<br>portionately from ch<br>rrs to health care, lac | r (BIPOC), people l<br>r (BIPOC), people l<br>e affected by the<br>rith lower incomes<br>ronic diseases, suc<br>k of access to hea<br>des mental, physic | rrative to ensure racial equity is<br>iving with lower incomes, and p<br>proposed budget or budget char<br>, and people who are otherwise<br>ch as obesity, diabetes, depressi<br>Ithy foods, and barriers to oppor<br>al and spiritual benefits for the c | eople who are otherwise marginalized   |

b. What information or data do you have about how this service is accessed by or affects BIPOC populations, people living with lower incomes, and people who are otherwise marginalized (because of disability, age, gender, etc.)? Have you asked for their perspectives directly and, if so, how have you incorporated their feedback?

The Golf program tracks use through the TeeSnap program, which provides limited demographic data. Through the work of the Task Force on Municipal Golf in Madison Parks, significant data was gathered from both golfers and non-golfers regarding how they like to use the spaces currently and into the future. The Task Force conducted a survey, which garnered significant public input and included demographics. The results of this survey were considered as the Task Force created recommendations

golf courses, specifically Glenway becoming more of a community park with a golf course. The green space that the golf courses provide are a valuable asset for community mental and physical health. The surrounding community benefits indirectly as the spaces are managed to ensure environmental sustainability. Those who do not benefit are individuals and groups who do not visit the courses because they do not feel welcome, do not play the game or otherwise recreate in the spaces. The Glenway redesign project will seek to create a culture of accessibility and inclusiveness on the course as a variety of programs are offered to attract golfers and non-golfers alike with an intentional focus on BIPOC and othe rmarginalized communities.

|    |  |                          |  | regarding the future of the folf progra<br>clear that significant work is still need<br>better engage with BIPOC and other  |  |
|----|--|--------------------------|--|---|--|
|    |  |                          |  | underrepresented communities.   |  |
|    | or already working on i<br>perspectives directly ar  | ssues related to this se | gencies who are affected by, care about,<br>ervice. Have you asked for their<br>incorporated their feedback?<br>from 2021, potentially harm specific | The Golf Program has a number of lor<br>time partners, including the Golf<br>Subcommittee, The First Tee of South<br>Central Wisconsin, Change Golf Instru<br>various regular leagues, returning out<br>high school and college teams. Staff<br>regularly interact with these groups ar<br>seek feedback, and have incorporated<br>ideas and feedback in a multitude of w<br>improve services.  | uction,<br>tings,<br>nd<br>d their   |
|    |  | nities? Consider that n  | ot changing a budget item might also be  | Proposed budget could potentially has<br>those who rely on affordable municipa-<br>in the event expenses far out pace rev<br>and additional funding is not provided<br>invest in capital needs, resulting in cou-<br>closures and overall price increases in<br>local golf market. By continuing to fun<br>as an enterprise, opportunities to bett<br>engage with non-golfers on a broader<br>are limited if not absent, as the course<br>must generate revenue whenever pos<br>The Glenway programming plan for 20<br>will allow the ability to gather data on<br>uses, specifically including non-golfers<br>complement each other on the course<br>how it impacts revenue streams. | al golf,<br>venues<br>d to<br>urse<br>n the<br>nction<br>ter<br>r scale<br>es<br>ssible.<br>022<br>n how<br>s, can |
|    |  | to communicate with y    | our stakeholders (from 1b and 1c above)  |   |  |
|    | in this process?   |                          |  | Staff will continue to communicate rep<br>with partners through face-to-face<br>interactions, meetings, emails.<br>Communication with golf customers v<br>continue through interactions, promo<br>updates through mass email to contact<br>from the TeeSnap system, as well as the<br>website updates   | will<br>otional<br>cts   |
|    |  |                          | mendation from any of the City's teams o<br>al justice (e.g., NRTs, RESJI, LCET, MAC, WI   |   | eed  |
|    | If so, please identify th  | e respective group and   | l recommendation.  | Staff will continue to implement the<br>recommendations set forth by the Tas<br>Force on Municipal Golf. Staff will con<br>to work towards achieving objectives<br>Division's Equitable Workforce Plan th<br>recruitment efforts, training and<br>implementation of Equity Action Plan<br>available resources.  | ntinue<br>of the<br>nrough   |
| Ра | rt 3: Proposed Budget Reduction  |                          |  |   |  |
|    | M/L====================================  |                          |  |   |  |
|    | What is 5% of the agency's net budget?   |                          |  | \$0   |  |
|    | What is the proposed reduction to this servio  | ce's budget?             |  | \$0   |  |
|    | Explain how you would change the activities activity identified above. Add a separate line |                          | as a result of implementing the funding decre  | ease to this service. List changes by service   | 2  |
|    | Activity   | \$Amount                 | Descript   | ion   |  |
|    |  |                          |  |   |  |

Total

\$0

| Personnel  |  | Descri   | iption  |
|--|--|--|---|
|  |  |  |   |
| Non-Personnel  |  |  |   |
| Agency Billings  |  |  |   |
| Total  | \$0  |  |   |
|  |  |  |   |
|  | d to perform the activitie ing these activities.   | s of this service? If so, explain the mandate and mandate  | ed service level. If not, are there other local organizatior  |
| s this reduction b   | peen proposed in prior ye  | ars?   | Select  |
| es the proposed  | reduction result in elimir   | ating permanent positions?   | Select  |
| If ye<br>in FT   | s, what is the decrease<br>rEs:  |  |   |
| es the proposed  | reduction impact other a   | gencies (i.e. Fleet Services)?   | Select  |
| If ye  | es, which agencies:  |  |   |
| scribe why the pr  | roposed reduction was cl   | iosen.   |   |
|  |  |  |   |
|  |  |  |   |
| oTE: Agencies m<br>Iltiple suppleme<br>quests should o<br>vices before pro<br>nat is the propo | ental requests are subr<br>only be submitted if age<br>oposing budget increas<br>sed funding increase? | nental request in their 2022 budget request. Please<br>nitted, agencies will be required to choose one to be<br>encies identify a critical need. Agencies should first o<br>ses. Agencies should not include Town of Madison re<br>Explain how you would change the activities and the | e presented for consideration for the Executive Buc<br>consider reallocating base resources within and am<br>equests in this section. |
|  | rvice. List changes by s   | ervice activity identified above.  |   |
| crease to this se  |  |  |   |
| rease to this se   | y \$Amo  | unt D  | escription  |
|  | y \$Amo  | unt D  | escription  |
| Activity   |  | unt D  | escription  |
| Activity   | 0  |  |   |
| Activity<br>Total  | 0<br>es by major expenditur  | e category that your agency would implement as a   | result of the funding increase to this service.   |
| Activity<br>Total<br>plain the change<br>Name  | 0<br>es by major expenditur  | e category that your agency would implement as a   |   |
| Activity<br>Total<br>Dlain the change<br>Name<br>rsonnel                                       | 0<br>es by major expenditur  | e category that your agency would implement as a   | result of the funding increase to this service.   |
| Activity<br>Total<br>plain the change<br>Name<br>rsonnel<br>on-Personnel                       | 0<br>es by major expenditur  | e category that your agency would implement as a   | result of the funding increase to this service.   |
| Activity<br>Total<br>plain the change<br>Name<br>ersonnel<br>on-Personnel<br>gency Billings    | 0<br>es by major expenditur  | e category that your agency would implement as a   | result of the funding increase to this service.   |
| Activity<br>Total<br>plain the change  | 0<br>es by major expenditur  | e category that your agency would implement as a   | result of the funding increase to this service.   |

| Does the proposed increase affect workload for any administrative or internal service agencies (e.g., IT, Select<br>Finance, HR, Fleet)?<br>If yes, which agencies? |
|---|
| Describe why the proposed increase is critical.   |
|   |
|   |
| V2 06283  |